TERMINAL BLEND ASPHALT RUBBER BINDER LOWELL-WESTFIELD VT. ROUTE 100

INITIAL REPORT 94-9 DECEMBER 1994

REPORTING ON WORK PLAN 94-R-3

STATE OF VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION MATERIALS AND RESEARCH DIVISION

PATRICK J. GARAHAN, SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION ROBERT L. MERCHANT, DIRECTOR OF CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE ROBERT F. CAULEY, MATERIALS AND RESEARCH ENGINEER RONALD I. FRASCOIA, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT SUPERVISOR

Prepared By:

Robert E. Brunelle, Technician IV Research and Development Subdivision

Reviewed By:

Mobirt Cauly

R.F.Cauley, Materials and Research Engineer

Date: 20 Dec 94

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. Report No.	2. Government Accession No.	3. Recipient's Catalog No.				
94-9		5.0				
4. Litle and Subtille	December 1004					
Asphalt Rubber Hot	December 1994					
Lowell-Westfield,	of the stating of gain a station could					
		8. Performing Organization Report No.				
7. Author's)						
	94-9					
9. Performing Organization Name and Addr	10. Work Unit No. (TRAIS)					
VT Agency of Trans	11 6					
Materials and Resea	arch Division	11. Contract or Grant No.				
133 State Street	5633	13. Type of Report and Payled Covered				
12. Sponsoring Agency Name and Address	5055					
Federal Highway Ad	ministration					
Division Office		Initial				
Federal Building		14. Sponsoring Agency Code				
Montpelier, VT 05	602					
In the intere of growing stock p is evaluating asph The wet-process, t the Lowell-Westfie by Bitumar, of Mon Mix production project were relat performance factor	st of finding a s iles of used tire alt rubber hot mi erminal-blend ARH 1d Project F029-2 treal, Quebec. on, testing and pa tively trouble fre s will continue.	s, the State of Vermont x (ARHM) technology. M liquid binder used on (11) was manufactured ving operations for this e. Evaluation of				
17. Key Words Pavement Asphalt Admixtures Rubber	18. Distrib	ution Statement				
19. Security Classif. (of this report)	20. Security Classif. (of this p	age) 21- No. of Pages 22. Price				
Unclassified	Unoruborriou					

Form DOT F 1700.7 (8-72)

۲

Reproduction of completed page authorized

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE : 1973 725-504/328

LOWELL-WESTFIELD VT. ROUTE 100 F 029-2(11) TERMINAL BLEND - ASPHALT RUBBER BINDER

INTRODUCTION:

Section 1038 of the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act (ISTEA) of 1991 requires an expanding usage of recycled rubber. Beginning during fiscal year 1994, ISTEA requires that a minimum of 5% of all asphalt tonnage produced within each state for use on federally funded projects will contain a nominal amount of recycled rubber from scrap tires. This percentage increases each year by 5% to a final 20% level in fiscal 1997 and is to be maintained at 20% each year thereafter. This requirement was initiated in response to growing stockpiles of used tires nationwide, and the lack of environmentally sound ways to dispose of them.

The U.S. Secretary of Transportation has expressed concern over this pressing issue, citing the crucial need for solutions to the used tire recycling problem, weighed against the substantial cost increases that would accrue as a result of full implementation of this policy. As of late 1994, the Federal Government is not pursuing strict enforcement of Section 1038, but has adopted a "wait and see" attitude, contingent upon several unresolved questions:

- 1. Is the use of crumb rubber threatening to human health or the environment?
- 2. Can asphalt pavements containing crumb rubber be recycled?
- 3. Do pavements modified with recycled rubber perform adequately?

The Vermont AOT is interested in the environmental issues related to rubber tire waste, and is undertaking a limited number of asphalt rubber hot mix (ARHM) projects to demonstrate a willingness to deal with the problem voluntarily, without federal oversight.

It is not the goal of this investigation to seek conclusions for either of the first two questions shown above, since they must be answered on the basis of broad experience. Rather, it is the issue of performance that will be addressed. Since performance and cost effectiveness concerns will most likely be finally settled at the state level, this evaluation and others which focus on asphalt rubber hot mix (AHRM) projects are of national as well as state significance.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The 1994 Lowell-Westfield, project F 029-2(11) began on VT Route 100 at km 4.609 (MM 2.864) and extended northerly for a distance of 14.270 km (8.867 mi) to km 7.564 (MM 4.700). The project included a 3.293 km (2.046 mi) control section which was paved full width (pavement and shoulders) with a standard overlay of 38.1 mm (1.5 in) Type III bituminous concrete pavement wearing course, beginning at km 4.609 (MM 2.864) in Lowell and continuing to km 7.902 (MM 4.91). The remainder of the project, from km 7.90 MM (4.91) in Lowell to

km 7.564 (MM 4.70) in Westfield, a distance of 10.977 km (6.821 mi), was paved full width with a 38.1 mm (1.5 in) ARHM overlay. Both sections were leveled with 384 t/km (680 tn/mi) which is approximately equal to an additional 25.4 mm (1.0 in) overlay thickness. Average 1994 daily traffic on this section of VT Route 100 is 1778 vehicles.

PRECONSTRUCTION CONDITION DATA:

Four test sites were established within the 3.219 km (2 mi) control section (standard overlay) prior to construction, and seven were situated within the ARHM overlay section. Each of these sites was evaluated for cracking, rutting and roughness both prior and subsequent to construction.

CONTROL (STANDARD OVERLAY) TEST SECTIONS

km	Cracking m/100 m	Rutting mm	IRI mm/km
(MM)	(ft/100 ft)	(1/16 in)	(in/mi)
5.25 (Lowell)	415	6	Ť
(3.26)	(415)	(4)	
5.47	575	14	
(3.40)	(575)	(9)	AVE.
6 12	654	10	2951
(3.80)	(654)	(6)	1
6 53	747	6	
(4.06)	(747)	(4)	j.
ARHM TEST SECT	IONS		
9.87	630	10	Ť
(6.13)	(630)	(6)	1
0.64	1045	10	
(0.40)(Westfie	ld) (1045)	(6)	
2.90	744	10	AVE.
(1.80)	(744)	(6)	3172
4.22	483	8	(201)
(2.62)	(483)	(5)	1
4.83	775	14	ĺ
(3.00)	(775)	(9)	1
5.44	694	11	1
(3.38)	(694)	(7)	
5.84	903	11	1
(3.63)	(903)	(7)	t

A post-construction survey conducted on 08/25/94 revealed that there had been no cracking or rutting on either the standard overlay or ARHM sections. International Roughness Index testing was conducted on 08/31/94 and an average value of 1436 mm/km (91 in/mi) was obtained for the control section and a value of 1499 mm/km (95 in/mi) was generated in the rubber modified section.

MIX PRODUCTION & TESTING:

The ARHM liquid binder (conventional FHWA terminology for this product is crumb rubber modified (CRM) binder) utilized for the project was a terminal-blend product, known as Ecoflex, produced by Bitumar Inc. of Montreal, Quebec. As specified, the ARHM liquid binder contained a minimum 10% reclaimed, vulcanized tire rubber and exceeded the contract specification for a SHRP grade of PG 52-34 through PG 58-46 with a PG grade of 64-34. The binder for the standard mix was AC 20, provided by Petro Canada, also of Montreal. Both mixes were produced at Pike Industry's 2.3 t (2.5 tn) Coventry plant, utilizing a combination of crushed gravel and quarried stone aggregate from Calkins Sand & Gravel. A brief description of the three (wet blend, dry blend and terminal blend) ARHM processes which are in current use is included in this report, as Appendix A.

Leveling course paving began on 06/15/94, using the standard (Type IV) mix and continued through the end of paving operations which occurred on 07/27/94. Wearing course paving in the control (standard overlay) section began on 06/24/94. Paving of the wearing course with the ARHM began on 07/07/94 and continued through 07/27/94. Total ARHM production was 9409 t (10350 tn) and average daily production of that mix was 1882 t (2070 tn).

In order to estimate the numbers of scrap tires which were recycled for this project, the following assumptions were made:

a) The ARHM mix design required an asphalt binder content of 5.8% (see mix design sheets, Appendix B).

b) The ARHM liquid binder contained 10% scrap tire rubber.

c) A typical scrap tire (passenger car) weighs 9.88 kg (20 lbs). (Report No. FHWA-SC-92-04).

Using these assumptions it can be estimated that approximately 6000 (passenger car) scrap tires were recycled for the Lowell-Westfield project.

Testing performed during mix production indicated a product of reasonably consistent quality. Some 306 tests were performed on 51 samples with a failure rate of less than 3%. All of the test failures were due to deficiencies in allowable air voids. The air voids test has an acceptability range of 3% to 5%. Test failures were isolated in most cases and failure margins were all less than 1%.

No significant problems were noted by the resident during the construction period. On 07/06/94, however, the second day of ARHM paving, several loads of mix had lay down temperatures which exceeded specifications 160 C to 170 C (320 F to 338 F) with high temperatures ranging from 171 C to

180 C (340 F to 355 F). The concentration of fumes caused some of the workers to complain.

COST:

The project was paved with 9418 t (10350 tn) of ARHM at a cost of \$41.80/t (\$38.00/tn) and 3012 t (3313 tn) of standard mix at \$36.30/t (\$33.00/tn).

The cost to resurface the control section with a 38 mm (1.5 in) overlay and a 384 t/km (680 tn/mi) leveling course was $$5,48/m^2$ (\$4.58/SY) while the cost for the ARHM overlay and leveling was $$6.09/m^2$ (\$5.28/SY).

SUMMARY:

No significant problems were encountered during production of either the crumb rubber modified asphalt or the conventional mix. Similarly, no notable problems were encountered with the lay-down characteristics of either pavement material.

The post-construction performance values for cracking, rutting and IRI are as expected for new pavements, and it is far too early at this point to identify any trends.

FOLLOW - UP:

Performance monitoring on the Lowell - Westfield project will continue on an annual basis with emphasis on the potential difference between the standard and asphalt rubber pavements. There are currently three methods by which recycled rubber can be introduced into hot mix; the dry process or "dry blend", the wet process or "wet blend" and terminal blending.

DRY BLEND PROCESS

The dry blend process uses the crumb rubber as a component of the aggregate which is incorporated into a gap graded aggregate prior to mixing with the asphalt cement. The crumb rubber modifier is dry mixed with the hot mineral aggregate prior to mixing with asphalt cement. The limited reaction time allows the surface of the coarse rubber particles to react with the asphalt cement, but does not permit sufficient time for the reaction to penetrate the entire rubber mass. This creates an asphalt/rubber interface which bonds the two materials together.

Distributors of this technology maintain that the coarse rubber particles act as elastic aggregates which flex on the pavement surface under traffic and break ice. They also claim increased fatigue life, resistance to reflective, shrinkage and thermal cracking, ice debonding and resistance to rutting.

WET BLEND PROCESS

Wet blend process is employed when the crumb rubber is blended with the asphalt binder prior to mixture with the aggregate. The reaction which occurs during the wet process is not a melting of the crumb rubber. The reaction which occurs is similar to a compressed, hard, dry sponge being placed in a water bath. The crumb rubber swells and softens and becomes sticky, increasing the viscosity of the mixture.

There are two prevailing technologies which utilize a wet blend process, the batch plant technology and the continuous blending technology. In the batch plant technology the CRM is mixed in a blending tank and reacted in a holding tank before introduction into the mix. In this process the liquid CRM binder is synthesized at the hot mix plant.



Continuous blending technology differs from the batch plant technology in that the CRM material used in the liquid binder is a finer grind. The mixing of the CRM binder and the asphalt cement is accomplished in a selfcontained, portable blending/metering unit (shown on the previous page).

The continuous blend system can be set up at the hot mix plant site and interlocked into the existing asphalt binder system.

Proponents of the wet blend process make claims of reduced aging, increased mix flexibility, increased softening point temperature and improved performance during both hot and cold weather.

TERMINAL BLEND PROCESS

The terminal blend process is similar to wet blending in that the rubber is blended into the asphalt binder before it is mixed with the aggregate. This process is distinct in several ways, however. Blending takes place at an asphalt terminal and the rubber blended asphalt binder is kept in storage tanks until it is transported to the hot mix plant as needed.

Terminal blending utilizes a finer gradation and requires complete dissolution of the crumb rubber in the asphalt binder. Performance characteristics of this product are similar to those of the wet blend material.

	STATE OF VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION										APPENDIX B(1)			
	MAT	ERIALS D	6 RESE	of I	Bitun	on – Bi linous	s Coi	ncrete	Mix	subdiv tures	ISION		633	32
Т	ownl	MASTE	R				Pro	ject No.						
Gentlemen	:													
In acc	ordance with	the spe	ecificatio	n requi	rements	s for the	above j	project I	submit	the follo	owing jo	b mix fe	ormula:	
Pavement	Туре	111		. Produ	ced By	PII	KE INDUST	RIES INC.	1	Plant Lo	cation .	OVENTRY	Vt	.
Blows per	· Side			Sto	ckpile (Fradatio	ns — %	Passin	g					
Size	% Used		11	1	*	1/2	*	4	8	16	30	50	200	
WA SAND .	21					1	100	99	83	57	34	15	2.5	
WA. 5 5	35							100	80	51	34	23	6	
3/8"L	21				100	100	98	30	4	5	1	1	.5	
1/2-1	23				100	78	30	4	C	1	1			
Resultant	100		1		100	99	84	63	47	31	20	12	8.5	
				H	ot Bin (Gradatio	n — %	Passing						
Bin	% Used		11	1	*	1/2	*	4	8	16	80	50	200	
S								100	82		-36	22	5.5	
2	28	· ·			100	100	96	27	5					
4	-17				100	47	10	1.3						
5														
Resultant	1 100	1	1 1		1 100	1 100	1 84	1.63	1 47	- 31	1 20	12	3	<u> </u>
Batch	Bin S	Bin S Bin No. 2		2	Bin No. 3		Bin No. 4		Bin No. 5		1 .	AC	T	otal
Weights 2334 118			1185	720							261		4500	
	1	1	12	1	1 14	16	1 *	4	8	16	80	50	200	AC
Job Mix Formula					100	99	84	63	47	31	50	12	3	5.80
Job Aim		1.	/	/	100	95	78	57 69	43	27	16	8 16	2 4	/
Specification Limits				/	100	95	70	42 75	28	· 18 41	11 31	4 22	2 6	3 8
					So	urce of	Materia	ls						
		Aggreg	ates							Азр	halt			
Coarse:	CALKINS S	<u>G - COV</u>	ENTRY. VT				-AC-10							
Fine:	CALKINS S &	6 - COVE	NTRY, VT.				-AC-20	-				07		
							1	ECOFLI	EX PG	64-34	t /	0 % K	ubber	
							Other	BITM	AR INC	·. ·	COMP.	teso. >	285°F	
Mixing Tin	nes — Dry:			. Wet:		36	Tota	al:		Te	mperatu	ire:		38 · F
Submitted	by:	a	we	lls	0	2	(4	signatur	e)	Date	e:		0 1994	
Company	0		dustries.	Inc			Title		Quality	Control.	Superinte	AVENT.	10/	1
				FOR S	TATE	OF VE	RMONT	USE O	NLY		1/2	Y		XA.
											197	-	4	F
Comments	This .	desi	m. La	- ap	prov	sed as	2 su	bruit	ted to	used on	211	R	ECEIVE.U	t
marshall design and truit drop. miner adjustments year lee 1 JUN 2 1 1994														
required. Continued use is contrugent on further HI MATERIALS & RESEARCH /														
testu	J Ane	enny	20 n	. A	eg	leat At	ins	1				X C	IVISION	A
Signature	Cha	un C	in fe	r.d	Title	Julu	Dic	2 me	20	1 C Gl	E X	Ter	TTT	X3
TA 556			U				Date	ume		1		all.	171	/
							V							

Am	FFC
TW	220

STATE OF VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION MATERIALS & RESEARCH DIVISION - BITUMINOUS CONCRETE SUBDIVISION Design of Bituminous Concrete Mixtures

6333

Town MASTER Project No.															
Gentlemen:															
In acco	rdance with	the spe	cificatio	n requi	rements	for the	above	project I	submit	the follo	owing jo	b mix fo	rmula:		
Pavement 7	Уре			Produ	ced By:	PIK	E INDUSTR	IES INC.	I	Plant Lo	cation C	OVENTRY			
Blows per	Side			Sto	ckpile G	radatio	ns %	Passin	g		P	L. 905	i		
Size	% Used		11	1	*	₩	1 %	4	8	16	30	50	200		
In Child	- 21						100	00	-02		- 36	15	- 2 5		
WA SS	35						100	100	80	51	34	23	6		
3/8-L	21					100	98	30	4	2	1	1	.5		
1/2"L	23				100	98	30	4	2	1	1	1	.1	1	
Resultant	100				100	99	84	63	47	31	20	12	2.8	<u>'</u>	
				H	ot Bin G	radatio	n — %	Passing							
Bin	% Used		11	1	*	1/2	1 %	4	8	16	30	50	200		
S	55					-		100	82	56	36	55	5.5		
2	28				<u> </u>	100	96	27	5						
8	17				100	97	10	3	5						
5															
Resultant	100				100	100	84	63	47	31	20	12	3		
		. ·													
Batch	Bin S	1	Bin No.	2	Bin No	. 3	Bin 1	No. 4	Bin	No. 5	1	AC	T	otal	
Weights	2343		1190		720						24	247 4500			
			14	1	%	1/2	*	4	8	16	30	50	200	AC	
Job Mix					100	99	84	63	47	31	20	12	3	5.50	
Formula					100 /	95	78	57 2	43 /	27 /	16	8/	2 /		
Aim			/	/	/	100	90	69	51	35	24	15	4		
Specification		/	/	-/	100	95	70	42	28	18	11	4	2	3	
Limits		/	/	/	/	100	90	75	Jb	41	31	V 25	6	8	
					Sou	arce of	Materia	ls							
		Aggrega	ates							Asp	halt				
Coarse:	CALKINS S &	G - COVE	NTRY. VT				AC-10)							
	PALVING D. C	C 0000	1704 111					DI	-		NADA				
Fine:	LALKINS 5 &	6 - LUVE	AIKY, VI.				AC-20	FI	ETRO, HUN	INCHL, CH	INHUH				
							Other	:							
	n		L	W.		24	m		42	The	comp.	temp. ct	3104/-2	045	
Mixing Tim	es — Dry:	1 7	1	. wet:	10	19	Tota	al:		1e	mperaci	ire:	0 1994	Y	
Submitted	by:	4-0	U	002	m	02	U (signatur	re)	Dat	e:				
Company	<i></i>	.P.ike.Ind	ustries.	105			Title		Quality	Control.	Superinte	endent	17		
				FOR S	TATE	OF VE	RMONT	USE O	NLY		17.	311	Lin	X	
	1.00										Λ		~		
Comments:	This	des	im	is	opp	rove	las	sul	mitte	1 ba	121		4	1=1	
marshall design and trial drop. Minu adjustments H RECEIVED I															
may be required. Contained use is contingent on In 1111 21 1904 -															
Sunthin testing meeting all specifications IT were the															
Signature Plan alia Colora Title Diturnaria Con soft Supervision Division															
Signature			0				Date	fin	e 30	1994	2 13	>			
TA 556															
	•														

ARHM (TERMINAL BLEND) LOWELL-WESTFIELD Rt.100 CONSTRUCTED 1994



