PRELIMINARY REPORT ON EMSAC F-100, MICROSILICA ADDITIVE FOR CONCRETE

REPORT 86-6

APRIL 1986

Reporting On Work Plan 85-C-24

STATE OF VERMONT
AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION
MATERIALS & RESEARCH DIVISION

Susan C. Crampton, Secretary
Frank E. Aldrich, P.E., Chief Engineer
R. F. Nicholson, P.E., Materials & Research Engineer

Prepared By:

C. C. Benda, P.E., Structural Concrete Engineer

Reviewed By:

R. F. Nicholson, P.E.

Materials & Research Engineer

Date: 05-06-76

"The information contained in this report was compiled for the use of the Vermont Agency of Transportation. Conclusions and recommendations contained herein are based upon the research data obtained and the expertise of the researchers, and are not necessarily to be construed as Agency policy. This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The Vermont Agency of Transportation assumes no liability for its contents or the use thereof."

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Materials	3
Procedures	6
Results	11
Discussion Of Results	16
Conclusions	19
Recommendations	20
References	21
Appendix A, Materials Safety Data Information	22
Appendix B, Work Plan 85-C-24	24

ABSTRACT

Microsilica, frequently called silica fume, is a by-product of the ferrosilicon and silicon metal manufacturing process.

Stimulated by claims of the enhanced performance of Portland Cement Concrete when microsilica is used as an additive, testing was initiated to determine if conventional Vermont Agency of Transportation concrete mixtures used in bridge deck construction could be improved with the introduction of EMSAC F-100 microsilica additive manufactured by Elkem Chemicals, Inc.

Given in this preliminary report are strength test results, short-term freeze-thaw characteristics and setting times for laboratory mixed concrete containing 10 to 30 percent microsilica by weight of cement.

Initial indications are that concrete with substantially higher compressive strengths and improved durability can be produced with microsilica. The EMSAC F-100 additive accelerated setting times at the lower addition rate while retarding the setting times at the upper addition rate relative to the control mixtures.

Continued research to determine resistance to prolonged freezing and thawing and Chloride Ion penetration is recommended.

INTRODUCTION

With the ever present need to construct more durable highway structures, conserve energy and use our natural resources more wisely, pozzolans including fly ash and slags have played an increasingly popular role in the production of Portland Cement Concrete. Recently, a greater awareness has been placed on the use of another pozzolanic material, condensed silica fume, or microsilica, as an additive to concrete.

Microsilica is produced by condensing the hot gases generated in the manufacturing of ferrosilcon and silicon metal. The liquid silicon or ferrosilicon is drawn off the bottom of the electric arc furnace as the rising vapor condenses in air and is collected in baghouses [1, 2].

The condensed silica fume contains in excess of 90 percent amorphorus silicon dioxide in the form of fine powder. The submicron sized spherical particles are 50 times as fine as the average cement or fly ash particle making microsilica extremely light and not easily handled [3].

By marketing EMSAC F-100 microsilica additive for concrete in a slurry form, Elkem Chemicals, Inc. claims to have overcome handling and water demand problems. The microsilica is dispersed in water and combined with chemical admixtures which include a high range water reducer.

This preliminary report discusses the laboratory batching and testing of conventional Vermont Agency of Transportation Class A and Class AA concrete control mixes and collates them to Class A and Class AA concrete mixed with EMSAC F-100. Class A mixes containing 10 and 30 percent by weight of cement EMSAC

F-100 and Class AA mixes with 10, 20, and 30 percent by weight of cement EMSAC F-100 are evaluated.

MATERIALS

The materials used in this investigation are as follows:

A. Aggregates

1. Fine Aggregate

Washed Natural Sand

A. G. Anderson, Highgate, Vermont

TABLE 1
FINE AGGREGATE TEST DATA

Fine Aggregate

	Date Sampled 12/10/85	Date Sampled 12/10/85	VAOT Specification Requirements
Sieve Size	% Passing	% Passing	% Passing
3/8"	100	100	100
# 4	99	99	95-100
# 8	89	89	-
# 16	75	73	50-80
# 30	49	48	25-60
# 50	20	18	10-30
#100	4	4	2-10
Fineness Modulus	2.66	2.69	2.60-3.10
Color		1	2 Max.

Coarse Aggregate Crushed Igneous Stone Cooley, Websterville, Vermont

TABLE 2

COARSE AGGREGATE TEST DATA

	3/8" Crushed Igneous Stone Date Sampled 12/4/85	VAOT Specification Requirements	3/4" Crushed Igneous Stone Date Sampled 12/4/85	VAOT Specification Requirements
Sieve Size	% Passing	% Passing	% Passing	% Passing
1"			100	100
3/4"			96	90-100
1/2"	100	100		
3/8"	94	85-100	39	20-55
# 4	29	10-30	8	0-10
# 8	7	0-10	5	0 - 5
#16	3	0 - 5		
L.A. Abrasion, % loss	36.2	"C" Grading 50 Maximum	32.8	"B" Grading 50 Maximum
Thin & Elong. Pieces, percent	1.3	10 Maximum	2.4	10 Maximum
Frac. Faces, percent	100		100	

B. <u>Cement</u>

Type II Cement

Glens Falls Portland Cement Company, Glens Falls, New York

TABLE 3

CEMENT TEST DATA

	Glens Falls Type II Date Sampled 12/23/85	AASHTO M85-84I Specification Requirements
Air content of mortar percent by volume	7.7	12 Maximum
Fineness - specific surface sq. cm. per gram	3967	2800 Minimum 4000 Maximum
Soundness - autoclave expansion, percent	0.02	0.80 Maximum
Normal consistency - Vicat needle	23.5	
Time of setting - Gillmore needle		
Initial - hrs:min	2.50	1:00 Minimum
Final - hrs:min	4:00	10:00 Maximum
Compressive strength, psi 3 days Cube No. 1	2960	
Cube No. 2	3040	
Cube No. 3	3010	
Average	3000	1500 Minimum
7 days Cube No. 1	3650	
Cube No. 2	3680	
Cube No. 3	3650	
Average	3660	2500 Minimum

C. Air-Entraining Admixture

Daravair

W. R. Grace & Company, Cambridge, Massachusetts

D. Microsilica Concrete Additive

EMSAC F-100

Elkem Chemicals, Inc., Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

E. Water Reducing Admixture

WRDA W/HYCOL

W. R. Grace & Company, Cambridge, Massachusetts

PROCEDURES

Control concrete mixtures batched in this investigation were typical of Class A concrete used in Agency bridge deck construction and Class AA concrete used in overlay and patch work. Four control batches were prepared, two each of Class A concrete and Class AA concrete.

The conventional Class A and Class AA mix designs for the control batches were modified according to the microsilica manufacturer's recommendations for the introduction of EMSAC F-100. Test batches included five Class A batches and six Class AA batches. Two of the Class A microsilica concrete batches contained 10% EMSAC F-100 by weight of cement and three were mixed with 30% EMSAC F-100 by weight of cement. Class AA microsilica concrete mixtures contained 10%, 20%, and 30% EMSAC F-100 by weight of cement. Two batches at each addition rate were prepared.

Batches 1½ cu. ft. in volume were mixed in a Sears 3½ cu. ft. rotary drum mixer. The EMSAC F-100 was homogenized in the five gallon delivery containers with a paint stirring paddle

and industrial sized electric drill just prior to weighing. After all other materials were added to the mixer, the microsilica additive was introduced into the batching sequence. Mixing time was increased by two to three minutes over the reference batches to ensure complete blending of materials.

Tests were performed on the fresh concrete to determine slump (AASHTO T 119-82), air content (AASHTO T 152-82), unit weight (AASHTO T 121-82), time of setting (AASHTO T 197-82) and temperature. Ten 4" X 8" test cylinders, and one 3" w X 3" d X 16" 1 freeze-thaw specimen were cast from each batch. Two cylinders from each batch were tested for compressive strength (AASHTO T 22-82) at 1, 3, 7, 14, and 28 days of age following standard moist curing. The freeze-thaw specimens were moist cured for 14 days and are presently being subjected to freezing and thawing in 3% NaC1 solution.

In addition to compressive strength testing, one cylinder from each pair was tested for static modulus of elasticity using ASTM C469-83 as a guide. As a compressometer for 4" X 8" cylinders was not available at the time of testing, a stand mounted dial indicator was set against the upper bearing plate of the compression testing apparatus to obtain deformation measurements at various loading intervals.

Specimens used to determine resistance to Chloride Ion penetration were fabricated and cured in accordance with AASHTO T 259-80. Following 14 days of moist curing and 28 days of air drying, the specimens were sampled and tested for base Chloride Ion levels (AASHTO T 260-84I). Upon completion of 100 days of continuous ponding with a 3% NaCl solution, the specimens will be resampled and tested for total Chloride Ion

content at depths of 0.25" to 1" and 1" to 2".

Chloride Ion penetration and time of set specimens were fabricated on alternate batches due to limited material and equipment resources.

Mix design quantities for the Class A and Class AA control batches and the test batches with various percentages of microsilica additive are given in Tables 4 & 5.

TABLE 4

BATCH QUANTITIES PER CUBIC YARD
CONCRETE CLASS A

	Control	Batches		EMSAC F-100 Test Batches				
Laboratory Batch #	Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3	Batch 4	Batch 5	Batch 6	Batch 7	
Percent EMSAC F-100 by wgt. of cement	N.A.	N.A.	10	10	30	30	30	
*3/4" Crushed Stone, 1bs.	1592	1592	1592	1592	1592	1592	1592	
*Fine Aggregate, 1bs	1255	1255	1245	1245	1300	1300	1300	
Cement, Type II, 1bs	660	660	660	660	660	660	660	
EMSAC F-100, 1bs.	N.A.	N.A.	66	66	198	198	198	
WRDA With HYCOL, oz.	19.8	19.8	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Daravair, oz.	7.0	7.0	9.1	9.1	12.2	9.1	9.1	
Net Water, gal.	31.52	31.28	30.00	30.00	23.72	24.35	24.48	
* Weights are saturate	d - surfac	e - dry.						

TABLE 5

BATCH QUANTITIES PER CUBIC YARD
CONCRETE CLASS AA

	Control	Batches		EMS	AC F-100 T	est Batche	S	
Laboratory Batch #	Batch 8	Batch 9	Batch 10	Batch 11	Batch 12	Batch 13	Batch 14	Batch 15
Percent EMSAC F-100 by wgt. of cement	N.A.	N.A.	10	10	20	20	30	30
*3/8" Crushed Stone, 1bs.	1182	1182	1182	1182	1182	1182	1182	1182
*Fine Aggregate, 1bs	1601	1601	1593	1593	1626	1626	1654	1654
Cement, Type II, 1bs	705	705	705	705	705	705	705	705
EMSAC F-100, 1bs.	N.A.	N.A.	71	71	141	141	212	212
WRDA With HYCOL, oz.	21.2	21.2	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Daravair, oz.	8.0	7.0	3.5	4.0	6.0	3.5	8.75	7.0
Net Water, gal.	33.79	33.91	32.14	32.14	28.87	28.87	25.54	25.54
* Weights are saturate	d surface-	dry.						

RESULTS

Results of tests on fresh concrete are shown in Tables Technical personnel representing the manufacturer indicated the volume of air-entraining admixture required to entrain air in concrete containing EMSAC F-100 comparable to **EMSAC** contents in concrete without F-100 The representative suggested substantially greater. 30%, 60%, and 100% more air-entraining admixture than required in the control batches for the concrete mixtures containing 10%, 20%, and 30%, respectively, EMSAC F-100. Air content testing of freshly mixed concrete, however, revealed that no increase in air-entraining admixture was necessary and, EMSAC F-100 mixtures required up 50% less air-entraining admixture to maintain air contents equal the control batches.

Results of compressive strength testing at 1, 3, 7, 14, and 28 days, resistance to freezing and thawing after 150 cycles and total Chloride Ion concentrations prior to ponding are presented in Tables 8 and 9.

Data generated in testing for static modulus of elasticity is under evaluation and results will be given in a future report.

TABLE 6

FRESH CONCRETE TEST RESULTS
CONCRETE CLASS A

Control	Batches	EMSAC F-100 Test Batches				
Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3	Batch 4	Batch 5	Batch 6	Batch 7
N.A.	N.A.	10	10	30	30	30
3 1/2	3 1/4	4 3/4	4	2 1/4	3	3 1/4
7.3	6.6	8.5	8.5	10	7.6	7.5
141.01	142.93	139.64	139.72	139.56	141.73	141.69
7 2	72	7 4	74	76	78	77
7:06		6:15		8:48	8:48	
8:30		7:36		10:18	10:18	
	Batch 1 N.A. 3 1/2 7.3 141.01 72	N.A. N.A. 3 1/2 3 1/4 7.3 6.6 141.01 142.93 72 72	Batch 1 Batch 2 Batch 3 N.A. N.A. 10 3 1/2 3 1/4 4 3/4 7.3 6.6 8.5 141.01 142.93 139.64 72 72 74 7:06 6:15	Batch 1 Batch 2 Batch 3 Batch 4 N.A. N.A. 10 10 3 1/2 3 1/4 4 3/4 4 7.3 6.6 8.5 8.5 141.01 142.93 139.64 139.72 72 72 74 74 7:06 6:15	Batch 1 Batch 2 Batch 3 Batch 4 Batch 5 N.A. N.A. 10 10 30 3 1/2 3 1/4 4 3/4 4 2 1/4 7.3 6.6 8.5 8.5 10 141.01 142.93 139.64 139.72 139.56 72 72 74 74 76 7:06 6:15 8:48	Batch 1 Batch 2 Batch 3 Batch 4 Batch 5 Batch 6 N.A. N.A. 10 10 30 30 3 1/2 3 1/4 4 3/4 4 2 1/4 3 7.3 6.6 8.5 8.5 10 7.6 141.01 142.93 139.64 139.72 139.56 141.73 72 72 74 74 76 78

TABLE 7

FRESH CONCRETE TEST RESULTS
CONCRETE CLASS AA

	Control	Batches	·	EMSAC F	-100 Test Ba	atches		
Laboratory Batch #	Batch 8	Batch 9	Batch 10	Batch 11	Batch 12	Batch 13	Batch 14	Batch 15
Percent EMSAC F-100 by wgt. of cement	N.A.	N.A.	10	10	20	20	30	30
Slump, inches	2 1/2	3	2 3/4	3 1/2	4 1/4	3 1/4	1 3/4	2
Air Content, percent	8.3	8.1	6.6	7.5	10.5	8.2	10.3	9.0
Unit Weight, lbs/ft3	138.82	137.59	141.93	140.44	136.79	140.69	138.24	139.48
Temperature, °F	75	75	74	75	76	76	76	76
Time Of Setting:								
Initial Set, Hrs:Min	7:00		5:24		7:15		8:51	
Final Set, Hrs:Min	8:39		6:24		8:33		10:54	
		10.00						

TABLE 8

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH, FREEZE-THAW, AND BASE LEVEL
CHLORIDE ION CONCENTRATION TEST RESULTS
CONCRETE CLASS A

	Control	Batches	EMSAC F-100 Test Batches				
Laboratory Batch #	Batch 1	Batch 2	Batch 3	Batch 4	Batch 5	Batch 6	Batch 7
Percent EMSAC F-100 by wgt. of cement	N.A.	N.A.	10	10	30	30	30
Compressive Strength, PSI: 1 day 3 days 7 days 14 days 28 days	2834 4038 4644 5441 5887	2944 4495 4963 5500 6136	3401 5231 5808 6813 7399	3282 5131 5997 6962 7409	4525 7319 8145 9767 10,084	4823 7628 8592 10,264 11,028	4416 7290 8304 10,085 10,998
Resistance To Freez- ing & Thawing: Weight Loss, percent 50 cycles 100 cycles 150 cycles	0.5 0.5 0.3	0.8 1.5 1.6	0.2 0.7 1.0	0.0 0.4 0.5	0.1 0.2 0.2	(-)0.3* 0.1 0.0	(-)0.3 (-)0.2 (-)0.3
Durability Factor 50 cycles 100 cycles 150 cycles	100.0 103.0 102.2	100.0 100.7 100.7	100.0 100.7 100.7	100.0 100.7 100.7	100.0 100.0 99.3	100.0 100.0 99.3	100.0 100.0 99.3
Chloride Ion Content: Base Level Cl-, PPM (lbs/cy) of concre	ete	53(0.2)		53(0.2)			83(0.3)

^{* (-)} Indicates Weight Gain

TABLE 9

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH, FREEZE-THAW, AND BASE LEVEL
CHLORIDE ION CONCENTRATION TEST RESULTS
CONCRETE CLASS AA

	Control	Batches	EMSAC F-100 Test Batches					
		Datenes		LHORO I	ioo iose ba	cenes		
Laboratory Batch #	Batch 8	Batch 9	Batch 10	Batch 11	Batch 12	Batch 13	Batch 14	Batch #15
Percent EMSAC F-100 by wgt. of cement	N.A.	N.A.	10	, 10	20	20	30	30
Compressive Strength, PSI: 1 day 3 days 7 days 14 days 28 days	2854 3938 4624 5300 6126	2596 3709 3998 5002 5798	3411 5390 6514 7518 8373	3530 5002 6017 7180 7707	4087 5748 7409 8234 9736	4256 6513 7687 8980 10,293	5042 7260 8851 10,054 11,088	5141 7578 9397 10,223 11,715
Resistance To Freez- ing & Thawing: Weight Loss, percent 50 cycles 100 cycles -150 cycles	0.7 1.2 1.9	2.0 2.1 2.1	0.4 0.7 2.4	0.3 1.7 2.8	0.4 0.8 1.2	0.0 0.2 1.1	0.5 0.5 0.5	0.0 0.1 0.2
Durability Factor 50 cycles 100 cycles 150 cycles	100.7 103.0 103.0	100.0 100.7 102.2	100.0 100.2 100.7	100.0 97.8 98.5	100.0 102.9 101.4	100.0 100.0 100.7	100.0 102.1 99.3	100.0 100.0 100.0
Chloride Ion Content: Base Level C1-, PPM (1bs/cy) of concr	ete	47(0.2)		65(0.3)	, -	65(0.3)		100(0.4)

DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

demand decreased at almost a linear rate Water as microsilica content was increased. This was probably due to the greater percentage of superplasticizer in the mix at higher EMSAC F-100 dosages. At relatively constant slumps, workability of the fresh concrete, as determined by ease of handling, became more difficult as the amount of silica fume was increased due primarily to its cohesive nature. Further discussion with the manufacturer indicated that limiting the EMSAC F-100 addition rate to 20% by weight of cement or increasing slumps by one inches would solve most of the handling problems. two to Increasing the water-cement ratio or limiting the maximum microsilica content would likely mean some sacrifice in performance obtained with 30% EMSAC F-100 as presented here.

As the microsilica content of the mixes increased, mixing times had to be extended to assure complete blending materials. Total mixing time for the control batches was five minutes while the Class AA mixes with 30% EMSAC F-100 required eight minutes for total dispersion. Prolonged mixing times were noted in earlier work done on high range water reducers as well [5]. The reduced water content combined with the overall sticky consistency of microsilica concrete required that test specimens bе vibrated more intensely to reach complete consolidation.

Setting times for concrete with the microsilica additive were less than the control mixtures at the 10% addition rate and greater at the 30% addition rate while they were approximately equal to the setting times of the control batches at 20%. For example, the initial and final setting times of

batch 10 with 10% microsilica additive were 23% and 26% earlier, respectively, than the Class AA control batches. The initial and final setting times of batch 14 mixed with 30% microsilica were 26% later than the corresponding setting times of the control mixtures. The initial and final times of set for batch 12 with 20% EMSAC F-100 were 3% later and 1% earlier than the respective setting times of the control concrete. As the quantity of EMSAC F-100 was increased, the volume of chemical admixture in the solution per weight of cement increased effectively accelerating setting times at the low dosages and retarding setting times at the high, a common quality of water reducing admixtures.

Entraining air in the microsilica concrete was not nearly as difficult as the manufacturer had initially indicated. The manufacturer as well as other sources [4] reported requiring AEA (air-entraining admixture) dosages of two or more times the control quantity to achieve air contents equivalent to the control. Fresh concrete testing demonstrated, for example, that when approximately equal AEA dosages were used in the Class AA control batches and batch numbers 14 and 15 made with 30% EMSAC F-100, air contents were 17% higher in the microsilica concrete. This contradiction in expected behavior is unexplained at this time.

Compressive strength of concrete produced with silica fume was substantially higher than the control concrete at all ages of test. As the percent of microsilica in the mix increased the cylinder strengths increased markedly. Class AA concrete with 30% EMSAC F-100 by weight of cement exhibited the most dramatic increase in strength, an average of 97% higher than the control. These results indicate that microsilica

may be more effective as a pozzolin than fly ash or blast furnace slag which demonstrate less rapid strength gain at early ages of cure. It is not known, however, exactly how much of an effect the superplacticizer in EMSAC F-100 contributes to early strength development, but based on earlier work [5] it is assumed to be significant.

Early freeze-thaw test results indicate that as the percentage of microsilica was increased, the durability was moderately improved. After 150 freeze-thaw cycles in a 3% NaCl solution there was an average of 0.9% less weight loss in the Class A with 30% EMSAC F-100 and 1.7% less weight loss in the Class AA with 30% EMSAC F-100 compared to the control mixtures. As a result of the higher than normal air contents, durability of the control and the test concrete is expected to be excellent.

CONCLUSIONS

Laboratory testing conducted on EMSAC F-100 microsilica based concrete additive has demonstrated that conventional Portland Cement concrete can be enhanced significantly with its use.

Compressive strengths are increased 75 to 90 percent at the 30 percent EMSAC F-100 addition rate and preliminary indications are that freeze-thaw resistance relative to the conventional Vermont Agency of Transportation Class A and Class AA control mixtures is improved.

Although workability was reduced at the higher dosages of EMSAC F-100, the manufacturer indicated water content could be increased above that used in this study to improve handling characteristics. To what extent this action will effect other desirable properties is still questioned.

The finer pore structure of the concrete containing microsilica is expected to significantly retard deterioration as a result of reinforcing steel corrosion. If, in addition to reducing Chloride Ion penetration, the electrical resistivity is increased and the rate of oxygen transport remains constant as indicated by one source [6], microsilica should be considered in addition to, or as an alternate to, other bridge deck protection systems.

Cost figures quoted by the manufacturer in April of 1986 were \$0.15 per pound F.O.B. Pittsburg, Pennsylvania. The resulting cost per cubic yard is estimated to increase by \$50.00 at the 20% addition rate when shipping and handling is included.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the favorable test results given in this report, it is recommended that testing for resistance to Chloride Ion penetration and freeze-thaw durability be continued. In order to more effectively evaluate EMSAC F-100, freezing and thawing should be extended to 500 cycles and 3% NaCl solution should be ponded for 300 days.

Long-term research should include studies on rate of slump loss and the electrical resistivity of microsilica concrete and the effect this has on protection of embedded reinforcement. These goals should be accomplished through continued literature review and laboratory and eventual field testing.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pistilli, M. F., Rau, G., Cechner, R. "The Variability of Condensed Silica Fume From a Canadian Source and Its Influence on the Properties of Portland Cement Concrete", Cement, Concrete, and Aggregates, ASTM, Summer 1984, Vol. 6, No. 1, p. 33.
- [2] "How Microsilica Improves Concrete", <u>Concrete Construction</u>,
 April 1985, pp. 327-331.
- [3] Malhotra, V. M. and Carette, G. G., "Silica Fume", <u>Concrete</u>

 <u>Construction</u>, May 1982, p. 443.
- [4] Carrette, G. G. and Malhotra, V. M., "Mechanical Properties,
 Durability, and Drying Shrinkage of Portland Cement Concrete
 Incorporating Silica Fume", Cement, Concrete, and
 Aggregates, ASTM, Summer 1983, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 3-13.
- [5] Meyer, W. L., "Laboratory Evaluation of High Range Water Reducing Admixtures", Report 84-8, Vermont Agency of Transportation Materials & Research Division, October 1984.
- [6] Fly Ash, Silica Fume, Slag & Other Mineral Products in Concrete, ACI Publication, SP-79, 1983, p. 719.



ELKEM CHEMICALS, INC. 412-788-6490 Emergency Telephone Number: Chemtrec: 800-424-9300



SECTION 1

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 1984

PRODUCT FAMILY OR NAME:

EMSAC™

MICROSILICA ADDITIVES FOR CONCRETE.

FORMULA:

Microsilica Slurry + Chemical Additive(s)

SYNONYMS:

EMSAC™

PRODUCTS COVERED:

EMSAC™ Family

SECTION 2

APPEARANCE AND

ODOR:

No odor.

Slurry of

Gray, aqueous suspension of Amorphous Silica.

MELTING POINT RANGE:

(Approx.):

Not applicable

SPECIFIC GRAVITY (Approx.) 1.25 to 1.35

SOLUBILITY:

Not applicable

Not applicable

OTHER:

Viscosity: <1000 centipose

Approx. 50% solids

REACTIVITY IN WATER: | pH: 6 ± 1

SECTION 3

SIGNIFICANT

INGREDIENTS:

Amorphous Silica

TLV DATA ON PRINCIPAL ALLOY INGREDIENTS

QUANTITY:

88% - 100%

TLV (mg/M³): Silica (Amorphous) Dry Basis

OSHA - 15 mg/m³ Total Dust, 5 mg/m³ Respirable Dust

ACGIH* - 10 mg/m³ Total Dust, 5 mg/m³ Respirable Dust

Chemical Additive(s)

Balance

*ACGIH - American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists Recommendation.

SECTION 4 FIRE AND EXPLOSION HAZARD DATA

COMBUSTIBILITY: The EMSAC ™ family is not combustible.

EXTINGUISHING MEDIA: Not applicable.

SECTION 5 HEALTH-HAZARD DATA

FIRST AID PROCEDURES:

SKIN CONTACT - Thoroughly wash exposed areas with soap and water.

EYE CONTACT - Flush with water to ensure that no particles remain in the eye.

INGESTION - EMSAC™ is of low toxicity. Avoid ingestion whenever possible.

EFFECTS OF OVEREXPOSURE:

EMSAC™ is of low toxicity. In the dried state, exposure to remaining solids may cause some irritation to the eyes, nose, and throat. No residual injury is expected.

ELKEM CHEMICALS, INC. • PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA 15275

ELKEM CHEMICALS INC. ASSUMES NO RESPONSIBILITY AND MAKES NO WARRANTY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, REPRESENTATION, PROMISE OR STATEMENT AS TO COMPLETENESS ACCURACY OR CURRENCY OF ANY DATA SO PROVIDED

EMSAC is an ELKEM a/s Trademark

SECTION 6 REACTIVITY	
STABILITY: EMSAC™ is stable.	(1) Generation of airborne dusts from dried EMSAC™ (2) Contact with hydrofluoric acid or fluorides may generate silicon tetrofluoride, a toxic substance (3) Mixing EMSAC™ with other products may alter toxicological properties.
MATERIALS TO AVOID: Hydrofluoric acid (HF), fluorides.	HAZARDOUS DECOMPOSITION PRODUCTS: Does not decompose.

SECTION 7 SPILL LEAK OR DISPOSAL INFORMATION

STEPS TO BE TAKEN IN CASE OF SPILLS:

Contain all spills and leaks. Transfer spilled material to an appropriate container. Do not use compressed air to maneuver dried material.

WASTE DISPOSAL OR REPACK INFORMATION:

Dispose of according to applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

No special precautions are necessary for repacking.

SECTION 8 EMPLOYEE PROTECTION INFORMATION

RESPIRATORY PROTECTION:

Not applicable for handling EMSAC™

OTHER PROTECTION:

Clothes contaminated with EMSAC™ should be laundered before reuse. If dusts of dried material is present, use a United States Bureau of Mines Schedule 21B respirator.

EYE PROTECTION:

Subject to safety rules; recommend wearing safety goggles.

VENTILATION:

Not applicable for EMSAC™

SECTION 9 ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

HANDLING/STORAGE: Exposure to freeze — thaw cycles and boiling temperatures degrades EMSAC™ effectiveness. Continuous exposure to temperatures near or below 0°C (32°F) and near or above 100°C (212°F) may cause the EMSAC™ container to rupture due to internal pressure build-up.

LABELING: No Department of Transportation hazardous materials labels required.

TA 564 Rev. 4/79

APPENDIX B

Prepared By: C. Benda

Date: 11-22-85

Sheet 1 of 1

STATE OF VERMONT AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION MATERIALS & RESEARCH DIVISION

PRODUCT EVALUATION

Work Plan No. 85-C-24

Product Emsac F-100 Microsilica Additive for Concrete
Manufacturer Elkem Chemicals Inc. Distributor or Buckeye Industries
Representative Parkwest Office Center P.O. Box 870
Cliff Mine Road Pittsburgh, PA 15275 Scituate, MA 02066
Evaluation Requested By Structures Division Date November 7, 1985
Date Evaluation Required ASAP Date Product Information Received Nov. 4, 1985
Material to be shipped by distributor when Date and Quantity of Samples Received quantity required for testing is known.
Purpose of Evaluation To determine if durability and impermeability of Vt. A.O.T.
Standard Class A and Class AA concrete can be improved with the addition of
Microsilica.
Proposed Tests Class A and Class AA test batches containing EMSAC at addition rates
from 10 to 30 percent by weight of cement will be compared to standard reference
mixes without Emsac Microsilica.
Testing to include Slump, Air Content, Unit Weight, Time of Setting, Freeze-
Thaw Durability, Resistance to Chloride Ion Penetration and Compressive Strength
0 1, 3, 7, 14, and 28 days will be performed on 10 test batches and 4 reference
batches. Initial report will document all test results up to and including 90
day Chloride ponding. Final report will include results of extended periods of
Chloride ponding.
med med
Proposal Discussed With DCB, NRD Projected Manpower Requirements 25 man-days
Evaluation To Be Conducted By Structural Concrete Subdivision Preliminary Report - 3/14/86
Proposed Starting Date Nov. 25, 1985 Estimated Completion DateFinal Report 11/21/86
Approval/Disapproval by Materials Engineer 2.7. The #25-85
Comments by Materials Engineer
Materials Research Division Agency of Transportation Date Typed: 11/22/85 (24)