Prepared By: R. Frascoia

Date: October, 1983

Page: 1 of 6

STATE OF VERMONT
AGENCY OF TRANSPORTATION
MATERIALS & RESEARCH DIVISION

EXPERIMENTAL USE OF HOT RECYCLED ASPHALT PAVEMENT IN VERMONT

Follow-up to Initial Report 82-3

Reporting Period February 1982 - October 1983

#### INTRODUCTION

This report discusses the condition and performance of an 11.7 mile portion of Vermont Rte 15 in Essex-Jericho-Underhill which was paved with recycled bituminous concrete pavement in July, 1981.

Production of nearly 18,000 tons of mix containing up to 35 percent reclaimed pavement material was achieved in a standard batch plant using the heat transfer method.

For detailed information on the construction phase of the experimental field trial, refer to Initial Report 82-3.

#### PROJECT CONDITION AND PERFORMANCE

# PAVEMENT DISTRESS

There has been no significant increase in the area of distress which occurred approximately 2 1/2 weeks after paving was completed in the Village of Jericho. The distress in the form of slippage or shoving of the recycled mix occurred in an area where at least a portion of the asphalt emulsion tack coat was washed away by a rain shower prior to the placement of the 11/16 to 13/16 inch thick overlay.

#### REFLECTIVE CRACKING

Type Mix	Test Section No. & Lane	* Original Crack Count	% Refl 1/82	ective Cracking 10/83
Recycled	7 WB	81	14	14
	8 EB 9 WB	239 188	5 0	5 0
Standard	7 EB	92	12	17
	8 WB 9 EB	219 83	8	17 43

<sup>\*</sup> Linear feet of cracks per 100' of 12' roadway.

# PAVEMENT RUTTING

### Measurement in Inches

Type Mix		Section & Lane	Original Range	Average Original Value	10/81	10/83
Recycled	8	WB EB WB	1/16 - 6/16 2/16 - 20/16 3/16 - 10/16	4/16 8/16 7/16	1/16 1/16 1/16	2/16 2/16 3/16
Standard	8	EB WB EB	1/16 - 5/16 3/16 - 18/16 3/16 - 7/16	3/16 7/16 5/16	1/16 2/16 2/16	2/16 3/16 3/16

## RIDING QUALITY

Riding quality as measured in inches of roughness per mile with a Mays Ride Meter.

# Project Average

Treatment	Date Tested Inches		per Mile	
		(WB Lane)	(EB Lane)	
No distinction made be-	10/81	18	15	
tween areas with recycled and standard mix.	10/82	26	25	

## FRICTION VALUES

Friction values obtained with a locked wheel friction trailer operating at 40 mph were as follows:

Treatment	Aver	age Friction	Value	
	9/81	9/82	9/83	
Project Average	37.2	-	-	
Recycled	-	38.0	35.3	
Standard	-	36.1	33.6	

#### RECOVERED ASPHALT PENETRATION VALUES

Asphalt penetration values determined using the Abson Recovery Method.

Type Mix	Initial Average	Avg. 12/82	
Recycled	59.5	49	
Standard	not tested	54	

### MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

There have been no maintenance requirements on the experimental project during this reporting period.

### PROJECTED MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS

With the possible exception of the distressed area on the westbound lane in the Village of Jericho at milemarker 0063+, no maintenance requirements are anticipated in the near future.

#### SUMMARY OF PROJECT CONDITION AND PERFORMANCE

#### Pavement Distress

Pavement distress has been limited to the area initially reported in the Village of Jericho.

## Reflective Cracking

There has been no significant difference in the performance of the recycled and standard mix with relation to the development of new or reflective cracking.

### Pavement Rutting

Rutting has been insignificant on both the recycled and standard mix.

### Riding Quality

Through the last monitoring cycle, October 1982, the recycled pavement has provided a riding quality approximately equal to the initial values obtained on new paving projects under the quality assurance provision.

# Friction Values

The recycled mix has maintained friction values approximately 2 points higher than the standard mix.

# Recovered Asphalt Penetration Values

Through approximately 16 months of exposure, the asphalt from the standard mix has maintained a penetration value averaging 5 points above the recycled mix.

# Maintenance Requirements

There were no maintenance requirements during this reporting period.

## CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

Based upon the performance of the experimental project to date, the use of hot recycling should be encouraged whenever practical on future paving contracts.

Reviewed By:

R. F. Nicholson, P.E.

Materials & Research Engineer

Date:

27,198